

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/812,420	03/30/2004		Kaori Misawa	403019/TAKADA	1268
23548	7590	02/15/2006		EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960				PENG, KUO LIANG	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1712	

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/812,420	MISAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kuo-Liang Peng	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	<u> </u>	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/15 This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/812,420 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Applicants' response filed on December 19, 2005 was received. Now, Claims 1-13 are pending.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action (Paper No. 100105).

Double Patenting

3. Rejections under double patenting rejection are maintained because the rejection is adequately set forth in paragraphs 3 and 4 of Paper No. 100105.

Applicants do not intend to address these rejections at the mean time because they are presently only provisional. Therefore, these rejections are still pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Rejection of Claims 1-13 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi (JP 2002-060691) in view of Nobe (JP 2001-098218) is maintained because the rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 9 of Paper No. 100105.

Art Unit: 1712

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The focus argument related to the core patentability is discussed below.

For Applicants' argument (Remarks, page 5, last paragraph to page 6, 1st paragraph), Hayashi does teach a porous film because as mentioned in Paper No. 100105, Hayashi teaches the use of organic polymers such as polyacrylate, etc. ([0033]-[0034]), which are typical porogens in the art. For example, Nobe teaches similar polymers as porogens. ([0015])

For Applicants' argument (Remarks, page 6, 2nd to 5th paragraphs), Nobe do not specifically teach the vaporization of the porogen under inert atmosphere. As such, the porogen vaporization process is deemed to be performed in a conventional manner, i.e., without any modification of the atmosphere and under air that contains oxygen. Especially, Nobe evaluates the vaporization of the porogen in air. ([0032])

of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the

Application/Control Number: 10/812,420

Art Unit: 1712

end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 4

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuo-Liang Peng whose telephone number is (571) 272-1091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

Art Unit: 1712

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

klp

February 9, 2006

Kuo-Liang Peng Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712